

COURT NO. 1  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

64.

OA 2055/2022 WITH MA 2703/2022 AND MA 3174/2024

WO Ramesh Chandra Yadav (Retd) ..... Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors. .... Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Ramniwas Bansal, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Satya Ranjan Swain, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

O R D E R  
24.09.2024

MA 2703/2022

Keeping in view the averments made in the application and in the light of the decision in Union of India and others Vs. Tarsem Singh [(2008) 8 SCC 648), the delay in filing the OA is condoned.

2. MA stands disposed of.

MA 3174/2024

3. Counter affidavit has been filed. There being some delay in filing the counter affidavit, this application has been filed seeking condonation of delay. Delay condoned. Counter affidavit is taken on record.

4. MA stands disposed of.

OA 2055/2022

5. Invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007, the applicant has filed this application and the reliefs claimed in Para 8 read as under:

*(a) To quash and set aside the applicant's impugned RMB proceedings to the extent the order denies grant of disability element of pension to the applicant.*

*(b) To direct the respondent to grant the disability element of pension @40% broad-banded to 50% along with all consequential benefits, with arrears and interest @12% p.a. w.e.f. date of discharge, by treating disease as attributable to and aggravated by military service, in view of the Hon'ble Apex Court judgment in Rajbir Singh (Supra) & Dharamvir Singh (Supra).*

2. The applicant was enrolled in the **Indian Air Force** on **20.12.1979** and discharged from Air Force Service on **31.01.2017** after rendering 37 years, 01 month and 13 days of regular service. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant made a fair statement that in this application, the applicant would only be praying for disability pension pertaining to one ailment, i.e. Primary Hypertension and he gives up his claim for all other ailments. The applicant submits that for the purpose of Primary Hypertension, the disability has been assessed @ 30% as is evident from the medical records.

4. Keeping in view the consistent stand taken by this Tribunal based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dharamvir Singh Vs. Union of India and others* (2013) 7 SCC 316 that Primary Hypertension may arise even in a peace area due to stress and strain of service, we see no reason not to allow the prayer of the applicant with regard to the disability Primary Hypertension, which has been assessed by the Release Medical Board @30%.

5. Accordingly, we allow this application and direct the respondents to grant disability element of pension to the applicant for Primary Hypertension @30% for life which be rounded off to 50% for life from the date of retirement, i.e., 31.01.2017 in terms of the judicial pronouncement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Union of India Vs. Ram Avtar* (Civil Appeal No. 418/2012) decided on 10.12.2014. However, in keeping with the law laid down in the case of *Union of India and others Vs. Tarsem Singh* [2008 (8)SCC 649] the arrears shall be restricted to three years prior to the date of filing of OA. [Date of filing of OA: 08.09.2022].

6. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to calculate, sanction and issue necessary PPO to the applicant within four

months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, failing which, the applicant shall be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum till the date of payment.

7. No order as to costs.

**[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]  
CHAIRPERSON**

**[LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY]  
MEMBER (A)**

Ps